



## NEWS RELEASE

For Immediate Release

Media Contact: Joe DiLaura, 317-232-3396

Josh Richardson, 317-233-0998

### State, County and MSA Unemployment Report

## **Preliminary State Unemployment Figures Released**

INDIANAPOLIS (November 22, 2006) – Indiana's seasonally adjusted unemployment rate dropped in October to 5.0 percent, down from 5.1 percent in September and from 5.4 percent one year ago, according to the monthly report released today by the Indiana Department of Workforce Development.

Nationally, the unemployment rate was 4.4 percent, down from 4.6 percent in September.

The number of employed Hoosiers on a seasonally adjusted basis in October 2006 was 3,111,500 compared with 3,048,100 in October 2005.

For the month of October, Indiana's Midwest neighbors reported the following unemployment rates: Kentucky, 5.2 percent; Illinois, 4.1 percent; Ohio, 5.1 percent; and Michigan, 6.9 percent.

### **Seasonally Adjusted:**

Indiana's seasonally adjusted unemployment rate for October was 5.0 percent, down one-tenth of a percentage point from September. The rate for the U.S. for October 2006 was 4.4 percent, down two-tenths of a percentage point from the previous month.

For the month of October the state's estimated seasonally adjusted labor force total was 3,274,100. The number of Hoosier workers employed during October was 3,111,500 and the number of unemployed Hoosiers during October was 162,600.

### **Non-Seasonally Adjusted:**

Using the same data and reporting non-seasonally adjusted employment trends, Indiana's October non-seasonally adjusted unemployment rate was 4.6 percent, which is down three-tenths of a percentage point from one year ago, when it was 4.9 percent.

The U.S. non-seasonally adjusted unemployment rate was 4.1 percent for the month of October, down three-tenths of a percentage point from the previous month and down five-tenths of a percentage point from a year ago. Of Indiana's neighboring states reporting non-seasonally adjusted unemployment rates, Kentucky's rate was 4.7 percent and Illinois' rate was 3.6 percent. Ohio reported an unemployment rate of 4.7 percent, while Michigan's rate was reported to be 6.1 percent.

The Indiana Department of Workforce Development is charged with continually improving the Hoosier workforce by assisting companies to create new jobs and improve worker skills. The agency offers a variety of training and educational grants, partners with Indiana's 26 WorkOne Centers, administers the unemployment insurance system, provides labor market information, assists employers with preparing workers for layoffs and closures and operates a statewide job placement service.

-30-

#### Technical Notes

-In calculating unemployment rates, two different types of numbers are provided. The first, known as the non-seasonally adjusted rate, estimates employment and unemployment without taking into account the effects of seasonal trends. In calculating the seasonally adjusted rate, or employment that follows more or less a regular pattern each year, holiday, summer and other types of seasonal employment is factored out of the estimate. These adjustments make it easier to observe the cyclical and other non-seasonal movements.

-Compiled in conjunction with the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the State's unemployment rate is derived from a national survey of about 60,000 households. Of that number approximately 1,300 Indiana households are polled each month. Given the small size of the Indiana sample, they are often considered preliminary estimates. For most accurate analysis, please use revised data sets and not preliminary estimates.

-The household survey that calculates the unemployment rate is conducted by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and is conducted during the week of the 12th of the month.

-Labor force is calculated as the number of people 16 years of age and older who were able and available to work.

*To the Media: The federal government collects two types of information about changes in the U.S. labor force. **Jobs** statistics measure the number of positions (jobs) in U.S. businesses created or lost over a specified period of time, and come from government surveys of payroll records. For this reason, these statistics do not capture information about changes in the number of self-employed persons, business owners, and farm workers. **Employment** statistics come from surveys of U.S. households, and distinguish whether individuals in those households are working (employed) or not working (unemployed). These statistics include the self-employed, business owners, and farm workers. (Note: Individuals are counted as being employed only once, even if they hold more than one job.) The unemployment rate is calculated from these statistics.*

*The Indiana Department of Workforce Development believes that employment statistics are the best measure of the status of a state, regional, or local labor force, because it measures people working rather than positions created, and because it includes the self-employed (including business owners) and farm workers.*

## INDIANA VS. U.S. UNEMPLOYMENT RATES

### Seasonally Adjusted

<b>Indiana</b>	<i>October, 2006</i>	<i>September, 2006</i>	<i>August, 2006</i>	<i>October, 2005</i>
Labor Force	3,274,1 00	3,261,800	3,241,000	3,222,600
Employed	3,111,5 00	3,095,900	3,068,700	3,048,1 00
Unemployed	162,600	165,900	172,300	174,5 00
Rate	5.0	5.1	5.3	5.4
 <b>U.S.</b>				
Rate	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.9

### Non-Seasonally Adjusted

<b>Indiana</b>	<i>October, 2006</i>	<i>September, 2006</i>	<i>October, 2005</i>
Labor Force	3,282,000	3,259,100	3,235,900
Employed	3,131,500	3,105,600	3,075,700
Unemployed	150,500	153,600	160,200
Rate	4.6	4.7	4.9
<b>U.S.</b>			
Rate	4.1	4.4	4.6

# LABOR FORCE ESTIMATES

CPS BENCHMARK: 2005

§ § § §

EMPLOYMENT BENCHMARK: 2005

THESE ESTIMATES ARE PREPARED IN COOPERATION WITH THE U. S. BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS (BLS) AND HAVE BEEN ADJUSTED TO THE CURRENT POPULATION SURVEY (CPS) FOR USE IN ALLOCATING FEDERAL FUNDS. BECAUSE OF IRREGULAR FLUCTUATIONS IN MONTH TO MONTH CHANGES, THE READER SHOULD USE CAUTION WHEN VIEWING THESE ESTIMATES AS INDICATORS OF ECONOMIC CHANGE.

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>	Seasonally Adjusted <u>RATE</u>
<b>U.S.</b>					
October 2006	152,397,000	146,125,000	6,272,000	4.1	4.4
September 2006	151,635,000	145,010,000	6,625,000	4.4	4.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	150,304,000	143,340,000	6,964,000	4.6	4.9
<b>INDIANA</b>					
October 2006	3,282,000	3,131,500	150,500	4.6	5.0
September 2006	3,259,100	3,105,500	153,600	4.7	5.1
October 2005 (Benchmark)	3,235,900	3,075,700	160,200	4.9	5.4
<b><u>METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS</u></b>					
<b>ANDERSON MSA</b>					
October 2006	64,310	60,870	3,440	5.3	
September 2006	63,850	60,290	3,560	5.6	
October 2005 (Benchmark)	64,300	60,360	3,940	6.1	
<b>BLOOMINGTON MSA</b>					
October 2006	98,720	94,970	3,750	3.8	
September 2006	97,380	93,470	3,910	4.0	
October 2005 (Benchmark)	98,110	93,700	4,410	4.5	
<b>COLUMBUS MSA</b>					
October 2006	38,330	36,870	1,460	3.8	
September 2006	37,810	36,310	1,500	4.0	
October 2005 (Benchmark)	37,800	36,150	1,650	4.4	
<b>ELKHART-GOSHEN MSA</b>					
October 2006	106,170	100,820	5,350	5.0	
September 2006	105,090	100,030	5,060	4.8	
October 2005 (Benchmark)	102,310	97,860	4,450	4.3	
<b>EVANSVILLE MSA</b>					
October 2006	186,010	178,230	7,780	4.2	
September 2006	185,420	177,500	7,920	4.3	
October 2005 (Benchmark)	183,630	175,160	8,470	4.6	
<b>FORT WAYNE MSA</b>					
October 2006	218,060	208,220	9,840	4.5	
September 2006	217,150	207,070	10,080	4.6	
October 2005 (Benchmark)	214,310	204,180	10,130	4.7	
<b>GARY</b>					
October 2006	336,210	320,630	15,580	4.6	
September 2006	334,280	317,980	16,300	4.9	
October 2005 (Benchmark)	330,930	313,880	17,050	5.2	
<b>INDIANAPOLIS-CARMEL MSA</b>					
October 2006	896,720	859,570	37,150	4.1	
September 2006	890,790	852,610	38,180	4.3	
October 2005 (Benchmark)	883,080	842,650	40,430	4.6	
<b>KOKOMO MSA</b>					
October 2006	47,460	44,820	2,640	5.6	
September 2006	47,040	44,340	2,700	5.7	
October 2005 (Benchmark)	47,990	44,340	3,650	7.6	
<b>LAFAYETTE MSA</b>					
October 2006	97,750	94,140	3,610	3.7	
September 2006	96,640	92,880	3,760	3.9	
October 2005 (Benchmark)	97,280	93,080	4,200	4.3	
<b>MICHIGAN CITY MSA</b>					
October 2006	55,160	52,430	2,730	4.9	
September 2006	54,540	51,720	2,820	5.2	
October 2005 (Benchmark)	53,700	50,790	2,910	5.4	

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>MUNCIE MSA</b>				
October 2006	56,290	53,200	3,090	5.5
September 2006	55,910	52,820	3,090	5.5
October 2005 (Benchmark)	56,300	53,050	3,250	5.8
<b>SOUTH BEND MSA</b>				
October 2006	166,660	158,670	7,990	4.8
September 2006	164,880	156,760	8,120	4.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	164,470	156,860	7,610	4.6
<b>TERRE HAUTE MSA</b>				
October 2006	82,720	78,270	4,450	5.4
September 2006	81,560	76,910	4,650	5.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	81,780	76,810	4,970	6.1
<b><u>COUNTIES</u></b>				
<b>ADAMS</b>				
October 2006	16,920	16,250	670	3.9
September 2006	16,860	16,150	710	4.2
October 2005 (Benchmark)	16,640	15,880	760	4.6
<b>ALLEN (FORT WAYNE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	184,670	176,170	8,500	4.6
September 2006	183,850	175,190	8,660	4.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	181,520	172,750	8,770	4.8
<b>BARTHOLOMEW (COLUMBUS MSA)</b>				
October 2006	38,330	36,870	1,460	3.8
September 2006	37,810	36,310	1,500	4.0
October 2005 (Benchmark)	37,800	36,150	1,650	4.4
<b>BENTON (LAFAYETTE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	4,870	4,660	210	4.3
September 2006	4,810	4,600	210	4.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	4,835	4,610	225	4.6
<b>BLACKFORD</b>				
October 2006	6,970	6,575	395	5.7
September 2006	6,930	6,510	420	6.0
October 2005 (Benchmark)	6,910	6,480	430	6.3
<b>BOONE (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
October 2006	27,420	26,520	900	3.3
September 2006	27,250	26,310	940	3.5
October 2005 (Benchmark)	26,980	26,000	980	3.6
<b>BROWN (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
October 2006	8,220	7,905	315	3.9
September 2006	8,165	7,840	325	4.0
October 2005 (Benchmark)	8,085	7,750	335	4.2
<b>CARROLL (LAFAYETTE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	10,930	10,500	430	3.9
September 2006	10,770	10,360	410	3.8
October 2005 (Benchmark)	10,910	10,390	520	4.8
<b>CASS</b>				
October 2006	19,380	18,420	960	4.9
September 2006	19,340	18,370	970	5.0
October 2005 (Benchmark)	19,560	18,460	1,100	5.6
<b>CLARK (LOUISVILLE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	54,740	51,890	2,850	5.2
September 2006	54,200	51,540	2,660	4.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	53,220	50,790	2,430	4.6
<b>CLAY (TERRE HAUTE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	13,630	12,900	730	5.4
September 2006	13,350	12,670	680	5.1
October 2005 (Benchmark)	13,490	12,650	840	6.2
<b>CLINTON</b>				
October 2006	17,490	16,730	760	4.3
September 2006	17,380	16,580	800	4.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	17,480	16,590	890	5.1

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>CRAWFORD</b>				
October 2006	5,460	5,155	305	5.6
September 2006	5,465	5,130	335	6.1
October 2005 (Benchmark)	5,430	5,090	340	6.3
<b>DAVISS</b>				
October 2006	15,420	14,920	500	3.2
September 2006	15,240	14,710	530	3.5
October 2005 (Benchmark)	15,200	14,600	600	4.0
<b>DEARBORN (CINCINNATI MSA)</b>				
October 2006	26,960	25,740	1,220	4.5
September 2006	26,750	25,510	1,240	4.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	26,330	25,050	1,280	4.9
<b>DECATUR</b>				
October 2006	12,970	12,470	500	3.9
September 2006	12,890	12,360	530	4.1
October 2005 (Benchmark)	12,810	12,250	560	4.4
<b>DEKALB</b>				
October 2006	22,280	21,020	1,260	5.7
September 2006	22,310	21,050	1,260	5.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	22,100	20,840	1,260	5.7
<b>DELAWARE (MUNCIE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	56,290	53,200	3,090	5.5
September 2006	55,910	52,820	3,090	5.5
October 2005 (Benchmark)	56,300	53,050	3,250	5.8
<b>DUBOIS</b>				
October 2006	22,580	21,850	730	3.2
September 2006	22,480	21,700	780	3.5
October 2005 (Benchmark)	22,420	21,580	840	3.8
<b>ELKHART (ELKHART-GOSHEN)</b>				
October 2006	106,170	100,820	5,350	5.0
September 2006	105,090	100,030	5,060	4.8
October 2005 (Benchmark)	102,310	97,860	4,450	4.3
<b>FAYETTE</b>				
October 2006	11,590	10,670	920	8.0
September 2006	11,590	10,680	910	7.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	11,410	10,580	830	7.3
<b>FLOYD (LOUISVILLE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	38,460	36,550	1,910	5.0
September 2006	38,150	36,300	1,850	4.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	37,440	35,770	1,670	4.5
<b>FOUNTAIN</b>				
October 2006	9,215	8,785	430	4.6
September 2006	9,050	8,625	425	4.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	8,990	8,540	450	5.0
<b>FRANKLIN (CINCINNATI MSA)</b>				
October 2006	12,280	11,650	630	5.1
September 2006	12,170	11,550	620	5.1
October 2005 (Benchmark)	11,970	11,340	630	5.3
<b>FULTON</b>				
October 2006	10,660	10,140	520	4.9
September 2006	10,640	10,110	530	5.0
October 2005 (Benchmark)	10,560	10,060	500	4.7
<b>GIBSON (EVANSVILLE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	17,570	16,860	710	4.1
September 2006	17,520	16,760	760	4.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	17,330	16,570	760	4.4
<b>GRANT</b>				
October 2006	34,130	31,960	2,170	6.4
September 2006	33,850	31,620	2,230	6.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	33,980	31,490	2,490	7.3

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>GREENE (BLOOMINGTON MSA)</b>				
October 2006	17,300	16,480	820	4.7
September 2006	17,070	16,230	840	4.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	17,240	16,270	970	5.6
<b>HAMILTON (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
October 2006	130,210	126,570	3,640	2.8
September 2006	129,320	125,540	3,780	2.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	127,890	124,070	3,820	3.0
<b>HANCOCK (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
October 2006	34,510	33,130	1,380	4.0
September 2006	34,320	32,860	1,460	4.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	33,800	32,470	1,330	3.9
<b>HARRISON (LOUISVILLE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	19,820	18,790	1,030	5.2
September 2006	19,700	18,670	1,030	5.2
October 2005 (Benchmark)	19,510	18,400	1,110	5.7
<b>HENDRICKS (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
October 2006	69,550	67,230	2,320	3.3
September 2006	69,000	66,690	2,310	3.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	68,450	65,920	2,530	3.7
<b>HENRY</b>				
October 2006	23,150	21,880	1,270	5.5
September 2006	23,040	21,800	1,240	5.4
October 2005 (Benchmark)	23,200	21,800	1,400	6.0
<b>HOWARD (KOKOMO MSA)</b>				
October 2006	39,220	36,990	2,230	5.7
September 2006	38,900	36,590	2,310	5.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	39,800	36,590	3,210	8.1
<b>HUNTINGTON</b>				
October 2006	21,120	20,140	980	4.6
September 2006	21,130	20,130	1,000	4.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	21,030	19,980	1,050	5.0
<b>JACKSON</b>				
October 2006	22,810	21,870	940	4.1
September 2006	22,540	21,600	940	4.2
October 2005 (Benchmark)	22,320	21,300	1,020	4.6
<b>JASPER (GARY)</b>				
October 2006	15,520	14,870	650	4.2
September 2006	15,400	14,740	660	4.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	15,260	14,560	700	4.6
<b>JAY</b>				
October 2006	12,280	11,810	470	3.8
September 2006	12,170	11,700	470	3.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	11,780	11,230	550	4.6
<b>JEFFERSON</b>				
October 2006	17,790	16,970	820	4.6
September 2006	17,560	16,740	820	4.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	17,440	16,630	810	4.6
<b>JENNINGS</b>				
October 2006	14,140	13,430	710	5.0
September 2006	14,180	13,450	730	5.2
October 2005 (Benchmark)	14,130	13,310	820	5.8
<b>JOHNSON (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
October 2006	71,200	68,420	2,780	3.9
September 2006	70,580	67,860	2,720	3.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	69,850	67,070	2,780	4.0
<b>KNOX</b>				
October 2006	19,670	18,830	840	4.3
September 2006	19,410	18,540	870	4.5
October 2005 (Benchmark)	19,770	18,860	910	4.6

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>KOSCIUSKO</b>				
October 2006	43,660	41,470	2,190	5.0
September 2006	43,220	41,050	2,170	5.0
October 2005 (Benchmark)	41,460	39,810	1,650	4.0
<b>LAGRANGE</b>				
October 2006	18,090	17,160	930	5.1
September 2006	17,830	16,940	890	5.0
October 2005 (Benchmark)	17,480	16,690	790	4.5
<b>LAKE (GARY )</b>				
October 2006	231,260	219,620	11,640	5.0
September 2006	229,930	217,800	12,130	5.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	227,620	214,990	12,630	5.5
<b>LA PORTE (MICHIGAN CITY MSA)</b>				
October 2006	55,160	52,430	2,730	4.9
September 2006	54,540	51,720	2,820	5.2
October 2005 (Benchmark)	53,700	50,790	2,910	5.4
<b>LAWRENCE</b>				
October 2006	22,840	21,350	1,490	6.5
September 2006	22,680	21,220	1,460	6.4
October 2005 (Benchmark)	22,610	21,040	1,570	6.9
<b>MADISON (ANDERSON MSA)</b>				
October 2006	64,310	60,870	3,440	5.3
September 2006	63,850	60,290	3,560	5.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	64,300	60,360	3,940	6.1
<b>MARION (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
October 2006	474,910	452,500	22,410	4.7
September 2006	472,010	448,830	23,180	4.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	468,270	443,580	24,690	5.3
<b>MARSHALL</b>				
October 2006	23,330	22,130	1,200	5.1
September 2006	23,370	22,140	1,230	5.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	22,990	21,930	1,060	4.6
<b>MARTIN</b>				
October 2006	5,085	4,865	220	4.3
September 2006	5,060	4,825	235	4.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	5,200	4,920	280	5.4
<b>MIAMI</b>				
October 2006	17,960	16,960	1,000	5.6
September 2006	17,860	16,840	1,020	5.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	17,870	16,640	1,230	6.9
<b>MONROE (BLOOMINGTON MSA)</b>				
October 2006	69,140	66,710	2,430	3.5
September 2006	68,220	65,670	2,550	3.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	68,650	65,830	2,820	4.1
<b>MONTGOMERY</b>				
October 2006	20,370	19,610	760	3.7
September 2006	20,200	19,410	790	3.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	20,370	19,500	870	4.3
<b>MORGAN (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
October 2006	38,230	36,660	1,570	4.1
September 2006	37,920	36,360	1,560	4.1
October 2005 (Benchmark)	37,690	35,930	1,760	4.7
<b>NEWTON (GARY)</b>				
October 2006	7,300	6,975	325	4.4
September 2006	7,230	6,920	310	4.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	7,215	6,830	385	5.3
<b>NOBLE</b>				
October 2006	24,320	22,930	1,390	5.7
September 2006	24,250	22,840	1,410	5.8
October 2005 (Benchmark)	23,830	22,490	1,340	5.6



<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>OHIO (CINCINNATI MSA)</b>				
October 2006	3,250	3,100	150	4.6
September 2006	3,225	3,070	155	4.8
October 2005 (Benchmark)	3,170	3,015	155	4.9
<b>ORANGE</b>				
October 2006	9,560	8,950	610	6.4
September 2006	9,570	8,965	605	6.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	9,410	8,830	580	6.2
<b>OWEN (BLOOMINGTON MSA)</b>				
October 2006	12,270	11,760	510	4.2
September 2006	12,090	11,570	520	4.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	12,220	11,610	610	5.0
<b>PARKE</b>				
October 2006	8,275	7,895	380	4.6
September 2006	8,265	7,870	395	4.8
October 2005 (Benchmark)	8,350	7,910	440	5.2
<b>PERRY</b>				
October 2006	9,815	9,400	415	4.2
September 2006	9,810	9,340	470	4.8
October 2005 (Benchmark)	9,700	9,225	475	4.9
<b>PIKE</b>				
October 2006	6,320	6,075	245	3.9
September 2006	6,305	6,030	275	4.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	6,280	6,000	280	4.5
<b>PORTER (GARY)</b>				
October 2006	82,140	79,170	2,970	3.6
September 2006	81,720	78,520	3,200	3.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	80,840	77,500	3,340	4.1
<b>POSEY (EVANSVILLE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	14,180	13,640	540	3.8
September 2006	14,120	13,570	550	3.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	13,980	13,410	570	4.1
<b>PULASKI</b>				
October 2006	7,220	6,945	275	3.8
September 2006	7,195	6,905	290	4.0
October 2005 (Benchmark)	7,240	6,910	330	4.5
<b>PUTNAM (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
October 2006	18,070	17,240	830	4.6
September 2006	17,940	17,100	840	4.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	17,970	16,900	1,070	6.0
<b>RANDOLPH</b>				
October 2006	13,230	12,490	740	5.6
September 2006	13,200	12,430	770	5.8
October 2005 (Benchmark)	13,470	12,650	820	6.1
<b>RIPLEY</b>				
October 2006	15,080	14,470	610	4.0
September 2006	15,120	14,490	630	4.2
October 2005 (Benchmark)	15,040	14,340	700	4.6
<b>RUSH</b>				
October 2006	9,875	9,435	440	4.4
September 2006	9,700	9,275	425	4.4
October 2005 (Benchmark)	9,705	9,275	430	4.4
<b>SAINT JOSEPH (SOUTH BEND MSA)</b>				
October 2006	138,370	131,790	6,580	4.8
September 2006	136,660	130,000	6,660	4.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	136,200	129,740	6,460	4.7
<b>SCOTT</b>				
October 2006	11,720	11,000	720	6.2
September 2006	11,590	10,860	730	6.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	11,350	10,710	640	5.6

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>SHELBY (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
October 2006	24,400	23,410	990	4.1
September 2006	24,290	23,220	1,070	4.4
October 2005 (Benchmark)	24,080	22,950	1,130	4.7
<b>SPENCER</b>				
October 2006	10,710	10,250	460	4.2
September 2006	11,030	10,530	500	4.5
October 2005 (Benchmark)	10,750	10,260	490	4.5
<b>STARKE</b>				
October 2006	10,810	10,210	600	5.6
September 2006	10,940	10,290	650	5.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	10,860	10,200	660	6.1
<b>STEUBEN</b>				
October 2006	17,160	16,160	1,000	5.8
September 2006	17,320	16,330	990	5.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	16,880	15,950	930	5.5
<b>SULLIVAN (TERRE HAUTE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	9,475	8,975	500	5.3
September 2006	9,365	8,820	545	5.8
October 2005 (Benchmark)	9,425	8,810	615	6.5
<b>SWITZERLAND</b>				
October 2006	5,965	5,760	205	3.4
September 2006	5,915	5,705	210	3.5
October 2005 (Benchmark)	5,930	5,675	255	4.3
<b>TIPPECANOE (LAFAYETTE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	81,950	78,980	2,970	3.6
September 2006	81,060	77,910	3,150	3.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	81,540	78,090	3,450	4.2
<b>TIPTON (KOKOMO MSA)</b>				
October 2006	8,235	7,830	405	4.9
September 2006	8,135	7,745	390	4.8
October 2005 (Benchmark)	8,195	7,745	450	5.5
<b>UNION</b>				
October 2006	4,070	3,865	205	5.1
September 2006	4,100	3,895	205	5.0
October 2005 (Benchmark)	4,025	3,830	195	4.9
<b>VANDERBURGH (EVANSVILLE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	93,280	89,120	4,160	4.5
September 2006	92,760	88,630	4,130	4.5
October 2005 (Benchmark)	92,010	87,600	4,410	4.8
<b>VERMILLION (TERRE HAUTE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	8,255	7,795	460	5.6
September 2006	8,165	7,655	510	6.2
October 2005 (Benchmark)	8,145	7,645	500	6.1
<b>VIGO (TERRE HAUTE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	51,360	48,600	2,760	5.4
September 2006	50,670	47,760	2,910	5.8
October 2005 (Benchmark)	50,710	47,700	3,010	5.9
<b>WABASH</b>				
October 2006	18,110	17,190	920	5.1
September 2006	17,980	17,040	940	5.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	18,130	17,020	1,110	6.1
<b>WARREN</b>				
October 2006	5,075	4,900	175	3.4
September 2006	4,985	4,800	185	3.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	4,930	4,745	185	3.8
<b>WARRICK (EVANSVILLE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	30,660	29,530	1,130	3.7
September 2006	30,560	29,360	1,200	3.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	30,240	29,030	1,210	4.0

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>WASHINGTON (LOUISVILLE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	14,410	13,610	800	5.6
September 2006	14,260	13,510	750	5.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	14,060	13,320	740	5.3
<b>WAYNE</b>				
October 2006	33,200	31,330	1,870	5.6
September 2006	33,140	31,290	1,850	5.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	33,370	31,250	2,120	6.4
<b>WELLS (FORT WAYNE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	15,270	14,720	550	3.6
September 2006	15,240	14,640	600	4.0
October 2005 (Benchmark)	15,040	14,430	610	4.0
<b>WHITE</b>				
October 2006	12,270	11,710	560	4.6
September 2006	12,400	11,820	580	4.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	12,260	11,590	670	5.5
<b>WHITLEY (FORT WAYNE MSA)</b>				
October 2006	18,120	17,330	790	4.3
September 2006	18,060	17,240	820	4.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	17,750	17,000	750	4.2
<b><u>CITIES (Population over 25,000)</u></b>				
<b>ANDERSON CITY</b>				
October 2006	27,960	26,260	1,700	6.1
September 2006	27,780	26,010	1,770	6.4
October 2005 (Benchmark)	27,890	26,040	1,850	6.6
<b>BLOOMINGTON CITY</b>				
October 2006	38,190	36,730	1,460	3.8
September 2006	37,700	36,160	1,540	4.1
October 2005 (Benchmark)	37,860	36,240	1,620	4.3
<b>CARMEL CITY</b>				
October 2006	31,100	30,460	640	2.1
September 2006	30,870	30,210	660	2.1
October 2005 (Benchmark)	30,550	29,850	700	2.3
<b>COLUMBUS CITY</b>				
October 2006	20,010	19,200	810	4.1
September 2006	19,710	18,900	810	4.1
October 2005 (Benchmark)	19,770	18,830	940	4.8
<b>EAST CHICAGO CITY</b>				
October 2006	10,900	10,140	760	7.0
September 2006	10,830	10,050	780	7.2
October 2005 (Benchmark)	10,870	9,930	940	8.7
<b>ELKHART CITY</b>				
October 2006	27,480	25,670	1,810	6.6
September 2006	27,190	25,470	1,720	6.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	26,470	24,920	1,550	5.8
<b>EVANSVILLE CITY</b>				
October 2006	61,290	58,180	3,110	5.1
September 2006	60,910	57,870	3,040	5.0
October 2005 (Benchmark)	60,430	57,190	3,240	5.4
<b>FISHERS TOWN</b>				
October 2006	33,600	32,830	770	2.3
September 2006	33,390	32,560	830	2.5
October 2005 (Benchmark)	33,040	32,180	860	2.6
<b>FORT WAYNE CITY</b>				
October 2006	115,480	109,860	5,620	4.9
September 2006	115,030	109,250	5,780	5.0
October 2005 (Benchmark)	113,550	107,730	5,820	5.1
<b>GARY CITY</b>				
October 2006	37,860	35,110	2,750	7.3
September 2006	37,680	34,820	2,860	7.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	37,510	34,370	3,140	8.4

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>GOSHEN CITY</b>				
October 2006	16,560	15,700	860	5.2
September 2006	16,340	15,580	760	4.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	15,930	15,230	700	4.4
<b>GREENWOOD CITY</b>				
October 2006	23,820	22,860	960	4.0
September 2006	23,620	22,680	940	4.0
October 2005 (Benchmark)	23,390	22,420	970	4.1
<b>HAMMOND CITY</b>				
October 2006	35,750	33,640	2,110	5.9
September 2006	35,560	33,370	2,190	6.2
October 2005 (Benchmark)	35,200	32,930	2,270	6.4
<b>HOBART CITY</b>				
October 2006	14,080	13,460	620	4.4
September 2006	13,990	13,350	640	4.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	13,850	13,170	680	4.9
<b>INDIANAPOLIS CITY (CONS)</b>				
October 2006	435,720	415,180	20,540	4.7
September 2006	433,120	411,820	21,300	4.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	429,680	407,010	22,670	5.3
<b>INDIANAPOLIS CITY (CORE)</b>				
October 2006	430,430	410,270	20,160	4.7
September 2006	427,840	406,940	20,900	4.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	424,420	402,190	22,230	5.2
<b>JEFFERSONVILLE CITY</b>				
October 2006	15,470	14,610	860	5.5
September 2006	15,320	14,510	810	5.3
October 2005 (Benchmark)	14,960	14,300	660	4.4
<b>KOKOMO CITY</b>				
October 2006	21,210	19,820	1,390	6.5
September 2006	21,030	19,620	1,410	6.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	21,570	19,610	1,960	9.1
<b>LAFAYETTE CITY</b>				
October 2006	33,880	32,530	1,350	4.0
September 2006	33,490	32,090	1,400	4.2
October 2005 (Benchmark)	33,780	32,160	1,620	4.8
<b>LAWRENCE TOWN</b>				
October 2006	23,460	22,510	950	4.0
September 2006	23,270	22,320	950	4.1
October 2005 (Benchmark)	23,040	22,060	980	4.2
<b>MARION CITY</b>				
October 2006	13,480	12,500	980	7.3
September 2006	13,380	12,380	1,000	7.5
October 2005 (Benchmark)	13,450	12,320	1,130	8.4
<b>MERRILLVILLE TOWN</b>				
October 2006	16,590	15,790	800	4.8
September 2006	16,470	15,660	810	4.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	16,280	15,460	820	5.1
<b>MICHIGAN CITY CITY</b>				
October 2006	15,180	14,340	840	5.5
September 2006	14,990	14,150	840	5.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	14,920	13,900	1,020	6.9
<b>MISHAWAKA CITY</b>				
October 2006	27,200	25,880	1,320	4.8
September 2006	26,820	25,530	1,290	4.8
October 2005 (Benchmark)	26,790	25,470	1,320	4.9
<b>MUNCIE CITY</b>				
October 2006	31,100	29,310	1,790	5.7
September 2006	30,950	29,120	1,830	5.9
October 2005 (Benchmark)	31,170	29,230	1,940	6.2
<b>NEW ALBANY CITY</b>				
October 2006	18,950	17,840	1,110	5.8
September 2006	18,820	17,720	1,100	5.8
October 2005 (Benchmark)	18,390	17,470	920	5.0

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>NOBLESVILLE CITY</b>				
October 2006	19,840	19,210	630	3.2
September 2006	19,720	19,050	670	3.4
October 2005 (Benchmark)	19,570	18,830	740	3.8
<b>PORTAGE CITY</b>				
October 2006	18,030	17,210	820	4.5
September 2006	17,890	17,070	820	4.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	17,730	16,860	870	4.9
<b>RICHMOND CITY</b>				
October 2006	17,190	16,200	990	5.7
September 2006	17,180	16,180	1,000	5.8
October 2005 (Benchmark)	17,330	16,160	1,170	6.7
<b>SCHERERVILLE TOWN</b>				
October 2006	15,640	15,120	520	3.3
September 2006	15,530	15,000	530	3.4
October 2005 (Benchmark)	15,390	14,800	590	3.8
<b>SOUTH BEND CITY</b>				
October 2006	50,220	47,400	2,820	5.6
September 2006	49,550	46,760	2,790	5.6
October 2005 (Benchmark)	49,460	46,670	2,790	5.6
<b>TERRE HAUTE CITY</b>				
October 2006	27,120	25,470	1,650	6.1
September 2006	26,730	25,020	1,710	6.4
October 2005 (Benchmark)	26,740	24,980	1,760	6.6
<b>VALPARAISO CITY</b>				
October 2006	15,290	14,770	520	3.4
September 2006	15,210	14,640	570	3.7
October 2005 (Benchmark)	15,080	14,460	620	4.1
<b>WEST LAFAYETTE CITY</b>				
October 2006	14,510	14,070	440	3.1
September 2006	14,370	13,880	490	3.4
October 2005 (Benchmark)	14,420	13,910	510	3.5

NOTES: 1) UNEMPLOYMENT RATES ARE COMPUTED FROM UNROUNDED DATA.

2) COUNTY DATA MAY NOT ADD TO TOTALS DUE TO ROUNDING.

3) ESTIMATES FOR THE LATEST YEAR ARE SUBJECT TO REVISION EARLY THE FOLLOWING CALENDAR YEAR.

\*Starting with January 2005 data, new MSA definitions are used. These will be revised back to 2000

\*Also starting with January 2005, new methodology is being used. It will be used back to 2000

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
STRATEGIC RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT/RESEARCH & ANALYSIS  
LOCAL AREA UNEMPLOYMENT STATISTICS (LAUS)  
10 NORTH SENATE AVENUE PH: (317) 232-1748  
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204

To make changes to mailing list information  
(add/drop/change contact)  
Phone: 1-800-262-6949 or  
email: cparker@dwd.in.gov



# RANKING OF COUNTY DATA

FOR OCTOBER 2006

PREPARED NOVEMBER 21, 2006

RANK	AREA	RATE	RANK	AREA	RATE
1	FAYETTE	8.0	47	OHIO	4.6
2	LAWRENCE	6.5	48	PARKE	4.6
3	GRANT	6.4	49	PUTNAM	4.6
4	ORANGE	6.4	50	WHITE	4.6
5	SCOTT	6.2	51	DEARBORN	4.5
6	STEUBEN	5.8	52	VANDERBURGH	4.5
7	BLACKFORD	5.7	53	NEWTON	4.4
8	DEKALB	5.7	54	RUSH	4.4
9	HOWARD	5.7	55	BENTON	4.3
10	NOBLE	5.7	56	CLINTON	4.3
11	CRAWFORD	5.6	57	KNOX	4.3
12	MIAMI	5.6	58	MARTIN	4.3
13	RANDOLPH	5.6	59	WHITLEY	4.3
14	STARKE	5.6	60	JASPER	4.2
15	VERMILLION	5.6	61	OWEN	4.2
16	WASHINGTON	5.6	62	PERRY	4.2
17	WAYNE	5.6	63	SPENCER	4.2
18	DELAWARE	5.5	64	GIBSON	4.1
19	HENRY	5.5	65	JACKSON	4.1
20	CLAY	5.4	66	MORGAN	4.1
21	VIGO	5.4	67	SHELBY	4.1
22	MADISON	5.3	68	HANCOCK	4.0
23	SULLIVAN	5.3	69	RIPLEY	4.0
24	CLARK	5.2	70	ADAMS	3.9
25	HARRISON	5.2	71	BROWN	3.9
26	FRANKLIN	5.1	72	CARROLL	3.9
27	LAGRANGE	5.1	73	DECATUR	3.9
28	MARSHALL	5.1	74	JOHNSON	3.9
29	UNION	5.1	75	PIKE	3.9
30	WABASH	5.1	76	BARTHOLOMEW	3.8
31	ELKHART	5.0	77	JAY	3.8
32	FLOYD	5.0	78	POSEY	3.8
33	JENNINGS	5.0	79	PULASKI	3.8
34	KOSCIUSKO	5.0	80	MONTGOMERY	3.7
35	LAKE	5.0	81	WARRICK	3.7
36	CASS	4.9	82	PORTER	3.6
37	FULTON	4.9	83	TIPPECANOE	3.6
38	LAPORTE	4.9	84	WELLS	3.6
39	TIPTON	4.9	85	MONROE	3.5
40	SAINT JOSEPH	4.8	86	SWITZERLAND	3.4
41	GREENE	4.7	87	WARREN	3.4
42	MARION	4.7	88	BOONE	3.3
43	ALLEN	4.6	89	HENDRICKS	3.3
44	FOUNTAIN	4.6	90	DAVISS	3.2
45	HUNTINGTON	4.6	91	DUBOIS	3.2
46	JEFFERSON	4.6	92	HAMILTON	2.8
				INDIANA	4.6



INDIANA  
WORKFORCE  
DEVELOPMENT

# Unemployment Rate October 2006

